ORGANIC

Mo-Au Combo Catalysis for Rapid 1,3-Rearrangement of Propargyl Alcohols into α , β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds

Masahiro Egi, Yoshiko Yamaguchi, Noboru Fujiwara, and Shuji Akai*

School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Shizuoka, 52-1, Yada, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka, Shizuoka 422-8526, Japan

akai@u-shizuoka-ken.ac.jp

Received March 14, 2008

ABSTRACT



The combination of Mo and cationic Au catalysts dramatically accelerated the rearrangement of diverse propargyl alcohols, which includes a short reaction time, mild conditions, and high product yields. A practical application to the highly challenging primary propargyl alcohols and the *N*-alkynyl amides is achieved.

 α , β -Unsaturated carbonyl compounds are versatile intermediates for the synthesis of biologically active natural products, pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and other useful materials. One of the main methods to prepare such compounds is the 1,3-rearrangement of propargyl alcohols, which is known as the Meyer–Schuster rearrangement (Scheme 1).¹

Especially, due to its high atom economy, extensive efforts have been devoted to the development of efficient catalysts. Protic and Lewis acids have been widely utilized; however, they often showed low selectivities leading to poor yields.^{1a,c} Such rearrangements were better catalyzed by transition metals, such as oxovanadium,² oxomolybdenum,³ and Ti(O-Bu)₄/CuCl,⁴ which required elevated temperature (>100 °C).

10.1021/ol800596c CCC: \$40.75 © 2008 American Chemical Society Published on Web 04/08/2008 Scheme 1. The 1,3-Rearrangement of Propargyl Alcohols (the Meyer–Schuster Rearrangement)

$$\begin{array}{c} OH \\ R^{1} \xrightarrow{} \\ R^{2} \xrightarrow{} \\ R^{2} \xrightarrow{} \\ R^{3} \xrightarrow{} \\ R^{2} \xrightarrow{} \\ R^{3} \xrightarrow{} \\ R^{3}$$

Although nBu_4NReO_4 was employed to catalyze the reaction under milder conditions, the relatively high loading of the catalyst was used and dehydrated compounds were produced as side products.⁵ The rearrangements were also mediated by Ru complexes, which went through a mechanism different than that of the above-mentioned acid- or transition-metalcatalyzed reactions;⁶ however, the Ru-assisted rearrangement required 50–100 °C and acidic conditions which often led to poor yields of the products. Very recently, the transformations mediated by Au⁷ and Ag⁸ at room temperature have been published. Nonetheless, there is yet no adequate or

^{(1) (}a) Meyer, K. H.; Schuster, K. *Chem. Ber.* **1922**, *55*, 819. (b) Théron, F.; Verny, M.; Vessière, R. In *The Chemistry of the Carbon-Carbon Triple Bond*; Patai, S., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, **1978**; Part 1, Chapter 10. (c) Swaminathan, S.; Narayanan, K. V. *Chem. Rev.* **1971**, *71*, 429 and references cited therein.

^{(2) (}a) Pauling, H.; Andrews, D. A.; Hindley, N. C. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1976**, *59*, 1233. (b) Chabardes, P.; Kuntz, E.; Varagnat, J. *Tetrahedron* **1977**, 1775.

⁽³⁾ Lorber, C. Y.; Osborn, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 853.

⁽⁴⁾ Mercier, C.; Chabardes, P. Pure Appl. Chem. 1994, 66, 1509.

⁽⁵⁾ Narasaka, K.; Kusama, H.; Hayashi, Y. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 2059.

practical method used for the primary alcohols. On the other hand, the rearrangement of the corresponding propargyl esters has also been investigated.^{1b} While Zhang's group developed Au-catalyzed reactions under mild conditions, which gave good yields of the products,⁹ Nishizawa and co-workers demonstrated an example of the efficient 1,3-rearrangement of the primary propargyl esters using Hg(OTf)₂.¹⁰ However, the 1,3-rearrangement of the propagyl alcohols is still more advantageous from the perspective of the atom economy and synthetic aspects. Herein, we describe a novel and practical method by the combined use of Mo, Au, and Ag compounds, which dramatically accelerates the 1,3-rearrangement even at room temperature and affords a diverse range of α , β unsaturated carbonyl compounds in good to excellent yields.

First, to develop a more effective catalytic system, a highly challenging primary propargyl alcohol **1a** was used as the substrate. When the rearrangement of **1a** was carried out in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature for 1 h, no observable reaction occurred in the presence of only the Mo, Au, or Ag catalyst (entries 2–4, Table 1). Moreover, all combinations of two

Table 1	1. Preliminary S	urvey for the l	Rearrange	ment of 1a ^a
(0H 1a (CH ₂) ₂ Pr	reagent(s) (1 mol % ead CH ₂ Cl ₂ , rt, 1	ch) h	O ↓ (CH ₂)₂Ph 2a
entry	$MoO_2(acac)_2$	AuCl(PPh ₃)	AgOTf	NMR yield $(\%)^b$
1	+	+	+	92
2	+	_	_	0
3	_	+	_	0
4	_	_	+	0
5	+	+	-	4
6	_	+	+	25
7	+	_	+	0
1	1			0

the internal standard.

catalysts among them afforded no or poor yields of the desired compound 2a (entries 5–7). However, in stark contrast, the use of all these catalysts showed a significant improvement in the rate and the yield of 2a. That is, the reaction was completed within 1 h to provide 2a in 92% yield (entry 1). Subsequently, the effect of each metal catalyst on this reaction was further surveyed. Among a variety of

oxo-metal compounds and gold catalysts, $MoO_2(acac)_2$ and $AuCl(PPh_3)$ were determined to be the most effective for generating **2a**. No significant difference in efficiency was noted among the silver catalysts such as AgOTf, AgClO₄, AgBF₄, and AgPF₆, all of which produced **2a** in NMR yields between 84 and 92%. The screening of solvents also revealed that toluene and CH₂Cl₂ showed comparable effects, although toluene was somewhat superior (99% as measured by NMR).

Next, the reactivity of diverse propargyl alcohols was tested using the combination of 1 mol % each of $MoO_2(acac)_2$, AuCl(PPh₃), and AgOTf in toluene at room temperature. The results are depicted in Table 2. Our protocol showed an excellent generality and provided good to excellent isolated yields of unsaturated ketones 2a-n from primary, secondary, and tertiary propargyl alcohols **1a**-**n**. The time required to reach completion was typically less than 1 h, while 3 h was sufficient for the less reactive tertiary substrates 1i-m. Furthermore, the oxidation of a hydroxyl group did not occur at all.³ Even in the case when the catalyst loading was reduced to 0.5 mol %, excellent yields were obtained, although a slightly longer reaction time was needed (entries 2 and 4). Besides, the rearrangement of secondary propargyl alcohols 1d-g produced β -monosubstituted compounds 2d-g with a high *E*-selectivity (entries 6–9). Entries 1, 3, 5, 7, 11, and 14 show that the yields are higher and the times are shorter than the reactions conducted with Hg(OTf)₂,^{10a} AgOMs,⁸ NaAuCl₄·2H₂O,^{7a} or Bu₄NReO₄.⁵ It is notable that our method has an advantage over the previous applications, especially for the primary propargyl alcohol.

The developed method was also useful for the rearrangement of the propargyl alcohols bearing proton 10-p, oxygen 1q-r, and nitrogen groups 1s at the end of the acetylene functionality to give the α,β -unsaturated aldehydes 2o,p, the phenyl esters 2q,r,¹¹ and the amide 2s, respectively. In these cases, the choice of a proper solvent brought about high yields of the products. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of the 1,3-rearrangement of the *N*-alkynyl amide, and 2s was produced with complete *E*-selectivity. The substrates (1o and 1p) were conveniently prepared by the reaction of carbonyl compounds with ethynylmagnesium bromide. Similarly, the preparation of 1q-s was also effectively achieved by the reaction of the lithiated phenoxyacetylene $3a^{12}$ or the lithiated N-substituted ynamine $3b^{13}$ with aldehydes or ketones (Table 3).

 α,β -Unsaturated aldehydes, esters, and amides have so far been synthesized by the aldol condensation,¹⁴ Wittig and Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reactions,¹⁵ and Peterson reaction.¹⁶ For the aldol reactions, either an acidic or a basic catalyst was required, and self-condensation often

^{(6) (}a) Suzuki, T.; Tokunaga, M.; Wakatsuki, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, 43, 7531. (b) Cadierno, V.; García-Garrido, S. E.; Gimeno, J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, 348, 101.

^{(7) (}a) Georgy, M.; Boucard, V.; Campagne, J.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2005, 127, 14180. (b) Lopez, S. S.; Engel, D. A.; Dudley, G. B. Synlett
2007, 949. (c) Lee, S. I.; Baek, J. Y.; Sim, S. H.; Chung, Y. K. Synthesis
2007, 2107.

⁽⁸⁾ Sugawara, Y.; Yamada, W.; Yoshida, S.; Ikeno, T.; Yamada, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12902.

^{(9) (}a) Yu, M.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, L. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2147. (b) Yu,
M.; Li, G.; Wang, S.; Zhang, L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 871. (c)
Wang, S.; Zhang, L. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4585.

^{(10) (}a) Imagawa, H.; Asai, Y.; Takano, H.; Hamagaki, H.; Nishizawa,
M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 447. (b) Nishizawa, M.; Hirakawa, H.; Nakagawa,
Y.; Yamamoto, H.; Namba, K.; Imagawa, H. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5577.

⁽¹¹⁾ We found that the rearrangement of the corresponding ethoxyethynyl derivative using AgOTf (1 mol %) alone was completed at room temperature for 0.5 h; however, that of 1q with AgOTf (2 mol %) alone gave 2q in less than 5% NMR yields. Thus, the trimetallic catalytic system was revealed to be highly effective for less activated alkynes. For details, see Supporting Information.

⁽¹²⁾ Brückner, D. Synlett 2000, 1402.

⁽¹³⁾ Zhang, Y.; Hsung, R. P.; Tracey, M. R.; Kurtz, K. C. M.; Vera, E. L. Org. Lett. **2004**, *6*, 1151.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Heathcock, C. H. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon, Oxford, **1991**; Vol. 2, p, 133.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 863.
(16) Ager, D. J. Org. React. 1990, 38, 1.

Table 2. Mo–Au Combo Catalysis for Rearrangement of 1 into α,β -Unsaturated Ketones 2

		R	10H 1a–n R ³	AuCl(PPh ₃)-AgOTf (1 mol % each) toluene, rt			
		substrate 1				product 2 isolated yield (%)	
entry	R^1 R^2 R^3			time (h)			
1	1a	Н	Н	$(CH_2)_2Ph$	0.5	2a	93
2^a	1a	Η	Н	$(CH_2)_2Ph$	2	2a	92
3	1b	Η	Η	n-C ₇ H ₁₅	0.5	2b	84
4^a	1b	Η	Η	n-C ₇ H ₁₅	1	2b	87
5	1c	Η	Η	Ph	1	2c	61
6	1d	Η	Me	n-C ₆ H ₁₃	0.25	2d	97 (<i>E</i> / <i>Z</i> 93:7)
7	1e	Η	$Ph(CH_2)_2$	n-C ₄ H ₉	0.25	$2\mathbf{e}$	94 (<i>E</i> / <i>Z</i> 93:7)
8	1f	Η	Ph	n-C ₆ H ₁₃	0.5	2f	86 (<i>E</i> / <i>Z</i> 97:3)
9	$1 \mathbf{g}$	Η	Me	Ph	1	$2\mathbf{g}$	88 (E/Z 82:18
10	1h	Me	Me	n-C ₇ H ₁₅	0.5	2h	92
11	1i	Me	Me	Ph	2.5	2i	90
12	1j	Bn	Bn	Me	1.5	2j	91
13	$1\mathbf{k}$	Me	t-C ₄ H ₉	n-C ₄ H ₉	3	$2\mathbf{k}$	88 (E/Z 75:25
14	11	Me	$Ph(CH_2)_2$	n-C ₄ H ₉	2	21	94 (E/Z 67:33
15	1m	-(CH ₂) ₅ -		n-C ₆ H ₁₃	1.5	2m	88
16	1n	-(CH ₂) ₆ -		Me	0.5	2n	94

occurred, providing poor yields of the products, while all the remaining above-mentioned olefination reactions are accompanied with the discharge of an equimolar amount of phosphorus or silicon compounds. In addition, few direct approaches to prepare unsaturated aldehydes have

Table 3. Two-step Preparation of α , β -Unsaturated Aldehydes, Esters, and Amide from Carbonyl Compounds



^{*a*} Run in CH₂Cl₂. ^{*b*} Run at 35 °C using MoO₂(acac)₂ (5 mol %), AuCl(PPh₃) (1 mol %), and AgOTf (1 mol %). ^{*c*} Run in acetone. ^{*d*} Run in toluene.

been reported.¹⁷ In contrast, Table 3 presents another promising method that features the two-step synthesis of the unsaturated carbonyl compounds (2o-s) from the carbonyl compounds and the production of smaller amounts of waste materials.

In conclusion, we have disclosed that the combination of 1 mol % each of MoO₂(acac)₂, AuCl(PPh₃), and AgOTf provides a highly powerful catalytic system for the rapid 1,3-rearrangement of propargyl alcohols.¹⁸ The reactions proceed at room temperature within 1 h in most cases to afford a variety of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds in excellent yields. Especially, it is noteworthy that it is applicable to primary propargyl alcohols and *N*-alkynyl amides as the substrate. Although we have not yet conducted detailed mechanistic studies, we consider a synergetic mechanism in

⁽¹⁷⁾ In general, α,β-unsaturated aldehydes are synthesized by a few reaction steps; viz., the reaction of carbonyl compounds with (EtO)₂POCH₂CO₂Et or (EtO)₂POCH₂CN, followed by reduction (and oxidation). For the recent use of this approach, see: (a) Hong, B.-C.; Tseng, H.-C.; Chen, S.-H. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 2840. (b) Maddess, M. L.; Tackett, M. N.; Watanabe, H.; Brennan, P. E.; Spilling, C. D.; Scott, J. S.; Osborn, D. P.; Ley, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 591. Alternative condensation reactions using acetaldehyde equivalents have also been developed; however, they produce wastes and/ or byproducts. (c) Cabezas, J. A.; Oehlschlager, A. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 5127. (d) Mahata, P. K.; Barun, O.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. *Synlett* **2000**, 1345.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Typical Experimental Procedure: $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (2.1 mg, 0.0063 mmol), AuCl(PPh₃) (3.1 mg, 0.0063 mmol), and AgOTf (1.6 mg, 0.0063 mmol) were added in this order to a solution of 5-phenyl-2-pentyn-1-ol **1a** (0.10 g, 0.63 mmol) in toluene (1.6 mL) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min and then quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. The organic materials were extracted with Et₂O, and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/Et₂O 10:1) to give 5-phenyl-1-penten-3-one **2a** (93 mg, 93%) as a colorless oil.

which a cationic Au catalyst, generated from AuCl(PPh₃) and AgOTf, activates the acetylene bond, while MoO₂(acac)₂ isomerizes the propargyl alcohol via the rearrangement of an intermediate molybdate. Further developments of this method and elucidation of the mechanism are now in progress in our laboratory.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the global

COE program from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (Japan).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL800596C